UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

MARIA BOYD,) Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-03836-TER
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.)
) ORDER
MARTIN O'MALLEY ¹ ,)
Commissioner of)
Social Security Administration,)
)
Defendant.)

On March 18, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412, on the basis that she was the prevailing party and that the position taken by the Commissioner, in this action was not substantially justified. (ECF No. 27). The Commissioner did not file a response and Plaintiff noted Defendant consented to the EAJA award. (ECF No. 27 at 1, 3).

Upon review of the material submitted to the court and finding the fees to be reasonable, the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees (ECF No. 27) is **granted** as outlined herein. The Commissioner shall pay the Plaintiff attorney's fees in the amount of \$4,000.00. Payment of the fees noted herein shall constitute a complete release from and bar to any and all claims plaintiff may have relating to EAJA fees in connection with this action. This award is without prejudice to the right of Plaintiff to seek attorney fees under Section 406(b) of the Social Security Act, subject to the offset provisions of the EAJA.

Pursuant to Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 596-98 (2010), EAJA fees awarded by this Court

¹ On December 20, 2023, Martin J. O'Malley became the Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), he is automatically substituted for Defendant Kilolo Kijakazi who was the Acting Commissioner of Social Security when this action was filed.

4:22-cv-03836-TER Date Filed 04/18/24 Entry Number 29 Page 2 of 2

belong to the Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program, 31 U.S.C. §

3716(c)(3)(B) (2006). Any EAJA fees should therefore be awarded to Plaintiff and not to Plaintiff's

attorney. If, after receiving the Court's EAJA fee order, the Commissioner (1) determines that

Plaintiff has assigned his right to EAJA fees to his attorney; (2) determines that Plaintiff does not

owe a debt that is subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program, and (3) agrees to waive the

requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, then the EAJA fees will be made payable to Plaintiff's

attorney. However, if there is a debt owed under the Treasury Offset Program, the Commissioner

cannot agree to waive the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, and the remaining EAJA fees,

if any after offset, will be paid by a check made out to Plaintiff but delivered to the business address

of Plaintiff's attorney.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 18, 2024

Florence, South Carolina

s/Thomas E. Rogers, III

Thomas E. Rogers, III

United States Magistrate Judge

2